



Intercomparison of ILAS-II Version 1.4 and Version 2 target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat measurements

A. Griesfeller, T. von Clarmann, J. Griesfeller, M. Höpfner, M. Milz, H. Nakajima, T. Steck, T. Sugita, T. Tanaka, T. Yokota

► To cite this version:

A. Griesfeller, T. von Clarmann, J. Griesfeller, M. Höpfner, M. Milz, et al.. Intercomparison of ILAS-II Version 1.4 and Version 2 target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat measurements. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions*, 2007, 7 (4), pp.9319-9365. hal-00302924

HAL Id: hal-00302924

<https://hal.science/hal-00302924>

Submitted on 2 Jul 2007

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Intercomparison of
ILAS-II target
parameters with
MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.

Intercomparison of ILAS-II Version 1.4 and Version 2 target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat measurements

A. Griesfeller^{1,*}, T. von Clarmann², J. Griesfeller^{1,*}, M. Höpfner², M. Milz²,
H. Nakajima¹, T. Steck², T. Sugita¹, T. Tanaka¹, and T. Yokota¹

¹National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES), Tsukuba, Japan

²Institute of Meteorology and Climate Research (IMK-ASF), Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe
and University Karlsruhe, Karlsruhe, Germany

* on leave

Received: 21 May 2007 – Accepted: 18 June 2007 – Published: 2 July 2007

Correspondence to: T. Sugita (tsugita@nies.go.jp)

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Abstract

We compared measurements taken by two satellite-borne instruments, ILAS-II and MIPAS-Envisat, between May and October 2003. Using the coincidence criteria of ± 300 km in space and ± 12 h in time for H_2O , N_2O , and CH_4 and the coincidence criteria of ± 300 km in space and ± 6 h in time for ClONO_2 , O_3 , and HNO_3 , a different number of coincidences have been found for the gases. The data were separated into sunrise and sunset measurements, which correspond to Northern and Southern Hemisphere data, respectively. For the sunrise data of ILAS-II, a clear improvement from Version 1.4 to Version 2.1 was observed for H_2O , CH_4 , ClONO_2 , and O_3 . For ILAS-II N_2O and HNO_3 data there were no large differences between the two data sets. In particular, the ILAS-II Version 1.4 data were unrealistically small for H_2O , CH_4 , and O_3 and unrealistically large for ClONO_2 above 20 km to 30 km. The differences between the two algorithm versions for the sunset data were not as large as for the sunrise data for all gases, and for H_2O and CH_4 . ILAS-II Version 1.4 data fitted even better to the MIPAS-Envisat measurements than Version 2.0.

1 Introduction

Water vapor (H_2O), nitrous oxide (N_2O), methane (CH_4), ozone (O_3), nitric acid (HNO_3), and chlorine nitrate (ClONO_2) are trace species that play important roles in global warming, the greenhouse effect, and ozone-depletion processes in the lower stratosphere. As the most effective greenhouse gas in the troposphere, H_2O is responsible for about 65% of the natural greenhouse effect. H_2O is highly variable both in space and in time, so it is quite complicated to derive its influence on climate and chemistry. In particular, the amount of water vapor in the stratosphere has some effect on global warming (Forster and Shine, 1999). In the stratosphere, significant changes have been observed during the last four decades (Nedoluha et al., 1998). As a long-lived and vertically stratified species, N_2O is a useful tracer in dynamical studies (World

ACPD

7, 9319–9365, 2007

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Meteorological Organization, 2003). It is also a greenhouse gas with a much larger specific effect on global warming than CO₂. Like N₂O, CH₄ is a tropospheric greenhouse gas, but it is not as long lived as N₂O (World Meteorological Organization, 2003). Its influence on global warming is larger than that of N₂O. O₃ is a stratospheric gas, with 90% of its column amount in the stratosphere and only 10% in the troposphere, that absorbs UV radiation in the stratosphere, keeping this radiation from reaching the Earth. Observing the vertical profile of O₃ is important for several reasons, including monitoring O₃ loss in the polar vortex (Bevilacqua et al., 1997; Singleton et al., 2005). Another key species in stratospheric ozone chemistry is HNO₃, a main nitrogen oxide reservoir gas, which determines the chemical and physical properties of the polar stratospheric clouds (PSCs) that play a central role in stratospheric ozone depletion (Solomon, 1999; World Meteorological Organization, 2003). ClONO₂ is a long-lived stratospheric chlorine reservoir species which plays an important role in the ozone loss chemistry with heterogeneous reactions on the surface on polar stratospheric clouds (Solomon, 1999).

The Advanced Earth Observing Satellite-II (ADEOS-II) with the Improved Limb Atmospheric Spectrometer-II (ILAS-II) was launched on H-IIA Launch Vehicle Flight No. 4 from Tanegashima Space Center at 10:31 a.m. on 14 December 2002 (Japanese Standard Time).

The polar-orbiting environmental satellite Envisat (Envisat = **ENV**ironmental **SAT**ellite), which was launched on the night of 28 February 2002, in Kourou (French Guiana) on board an Ariane 5, carries ten instruments on board. Envisat is the largest satellite developed by the European Space Agency (ESA) to date. One of these ten instruments is the remote sensing instrument for atmospheric chemistry Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding (MIPAS) (Fischer, 1992, 1993; Fischer and Oelhaf, 1996).

This study investigates the differences between the vertical profiles derived from the data of MIPAS-Envisat and ILAS-II between May and October 2003. Measurement results were compared and differences were investigated in detail. The comparison

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

with the ILAS-II Version 1.4 and Version 2 data involved the retrieved data based on spectra version V30 (ESA: IPF 4.61/4.62) of a scientific data processor, developed at the Institute of Meteorology and Climate Research, Karlsruhe, Germany (IMK) (von Clarmann et al., 2003a,b), in cooperation with the Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (IAA) in Granada, Spain (Funke et al., 2001).

2 Measurements and data analysis

2.1 ILAS-II

The ILAS-II solar occultation instrument was developed by the Ministry of the Environment (MOE) of Japan to succeed the ILAS. It was operated onboard the Advanced Earth Observing Satellite-II (ADEOS-II) spacecraft of the National Space Development Agency (NASDA) of Japan (recently restructured to become the Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA)) (Nakajima et al., 2006) during its sun-synchronous polar orbit at an inclination angle of 98.7° and a height of 802.9 km. Measurements were made approximately 14 times daily in each hemisphere from January to October 2003. Latitudinal coverage was from 54° – 71° N and 64° – 88° S, varying seasonally. The instantaneous field of view (IFOV) for the infrared (IR) spectrometer at the tangent point was 1.0 km in the vertical and 13.0 km in the horizontal. ILAS-II included four observation channels. Three of them measured in the IR (850 – 1610 cm^{-1} , 1754 – 3333 cm^{-1} , and 778 – 782 cm^{-1}), and one in the visible (VIS, $12\,755$ – $13\,280\text{ cm}^{-1}$). ILAS-II used a solar occultation technique to measure stratospheric vertical profiles of O_3 , HNO_3 , NO_2 , N_2O , CH_4 , H_2O , ClONO_2 , N_2O_5 , CFC-11, CFC-12, and aerosol extinction coefficients. Vertical VMR profiles of atmospheric constituents are derived with an onion-peeling retrieval method (Yokota et al., 2002). The retrieval vertical grid interval was 1 km. Vertical resolutions were 1.3–2.9 km at tangent heights of 15 to 55 km (Nakajima et al., 2006). ILAS-II functioned continuously from April to October 2003 with some sporadic measurements between January and March 2003. Data obtained using the Version 1.4

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

retrieval algorithm have been validated by other independent measurements (Ejiri et al., 2006; Irie et al., 2006; Saitoh et al., 2006; Sugita et al., 2006). Further details about ILAS-II have been reported by Nakajima et al. (2006). ILAS-II measured absorption over a large spectral range. The gases examined in this paper were measured with Channel 1 at wavelengths from 850 to 1610 cm⁻¹ with 44 spectral elements. There are many differences between ILAS-II Version 1.4 and Version 2. The most important change for the Northern Hemisphere data was the improvement of transmittance correction in the Northern Hemisphere: solar heat energy caused a distortion in the entrance slit, which resulted in abnormal transmittance. This was corrected only for the Northern Hemisphere data, because the transmittance distortion apparently appeared only for sunrise occultation events. Other major differences between ILAS-II Version 1.4 and Version 2 are the use of the HITRAN 2004 (Rothman et al., 2005) line parameters instead of HITRAN 2000 (Rothman et al., 2003) and the improvement of tangent height registration.

2.2 MIPAS-Envisat

MIPAS-Envisat (Fischer, 1992; Fischer and Oelhaf, 1996; Fischer et al., 2000) was developed at the ESA. It is a limb emission spectrometer that takes measurements of CH₄, ClO, ClONO₂, CO, CFC-11, CFC-12, H₂O, HNO₃, HNO₄, HOCl, N₂O₅, N₂O, NO₂, NO, O₃, and further trace gases in the infrared between 4 μm and 15 μm (2410 cm⁻¹ and 685 cm⁻¹) with a spectral resolution of 0.035 cm⁻¹ (unapodized) in five spectral bands, between 12 km and 68 km, performing 14.4 orbits per day. The orbit is sun synchronous in 800 km altitude with an inclination of 98.55°. The field of view is 30 km in the horizontal and 3 km in the vertical at the tangent points. While the operational ESA data are processed with a code described by Ridolfi et al. (2000), we used data from a science oriented data processor, developed at IMK in cooperation with IAA. The data used for comparison are based on calibrated radiance spectra generated by offline level-1 reprocessing and were labeled V3O. The scientific data processor uses the

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Karlsruhe Optimized and Precise Radiative transfer Algorithm (KOPRA) as a forward model for the retrieval (Höpfner et al., 1998; Stiller et al., 1998; Stiller, 2000). MIPAS-Envisat took measurements nearly continuously from July 2002 until the end of March 2004. Instabilities in the interferometer driver velocity led to a temporary interruption of operational measurements at that point, but it was restarted with a different observation scheme in early 2005. Several MIPAS-Envisat data have already been validated, e.g. O₃ (Steck et al., 2007; Bracher et al., 2005; Verronen et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2005), N₂O (Bracher et al., 2005), HNO₃ (Wang et al., 2007), and ClONO₂ (Höpfner et al., 2007). However, these validation results are based partly upon different spectra, not only the spectra generated by offline level-1 reprocessing presented here. For MIPAS-Envisat the spectroscopy dataset of Flaud et al. (2003) is used. In contrast to the large spectral range in which the ILAS-II measurements were performed, the MIPAS-Envisat measurements used narrow wavelength bands called microwindows.

3 Results

Using the coincidence criteria of ± 300 km in space and ± 12 h in time for H₂O, N₂O, and CH₄ and the coincidence criteria of ± 300 km in space and ± 6 h in time for ClONO₂, O₃, and HNO₃, a different number of coincidences were found for these gases as shown in Tables 1 and 2 (see Sect. 3.2). The number of coincidences is different for each gas because MIPAS-Envisat data from the scientific data processor was not always available. Besides the number of coincidences, the average distance in space and time for the Northern and Southern Hemispheres are also listed in Tables 1 and 2 (see Sect. 3.2), respectively. These coincidence criteria were chosen to increase the number of coincidences and obtain more reliable statistics.

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

3.1 Northern Hemisphere

All Northern Hemisphere ILAS-II data considered here are sunrise data. The ILAS-II data are from the two retrieval algorithm Versions 1.4 and 2.1. The MIPAS-Envisat data of H₂O, ClONO₂, O₃, HNO₃, N₂O, and CH₄ are based on off-line spectra of Version 3 (V3O). In the Northern Hemisphere the measurements were made during summertime, so no polar vortex has to be considered. Measurements were performed between 54.8° N and 71.0° N (ILAS-II) and between 55.7° N and 72.4° N (MIPAS).

3.1.1 Water vapor

For the comparison of MIPAS-Envisat and ILAS-II H₂O data, we used Version V3O_H2O_11 of the scientific processor of MIPAS. The retrieval of the MIPAS-Envisat H₂O data has already been described in detail by [Milz et al. \(2005\)](#). Since the assumption of local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) is no longer valid above 50 km for the MIPAS-Envisat measurements, we compared only the data up to 50 km. In this comparison, we found 216 coincidences for the measurements in the Northern Hemisphere with most of the coincidences found from August until October (Fig. 1). For all months there was a clear improvement between the MIPAS-Envisat and the ILAS-II data from ILAS-II Version 1.4 in blue triangles to Version 2.1 in red circles.

Figure 2 shows the mean profiles of all coincidences along with the differences. The differences were calculated using the bias determination specification by [von Clarmann \(2006\)](#), the error bars represent the standard error of the mean difference. Obviously, the small values of ILAS-II Version 1.4 above 20 km were unrealistic. Up to 45 km there was good agreement between the MIPAS-Envisat measurements and the ILAS-II Version 2.1 data (except for the lowest data point). Above 45 to 50 km the values of the MIPAS-Envisat H₂O data were larger than the ILAS-II data. The largest differences were around 45 to 50 km with 2.6 ppmv (35%) for Version 2.1 data and 6.6 ppmv (85%) for Version 1.4.

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

3.1.2 Nitrous oxide

We used MIPAS-Envisat N₂O V3O_N2O_8 for comparison with the ILAS-II data. As was the case with H₂O, most of the coincidences we found between August and October (Fig. 3). In the five months from May until October there were 219 coincidences between MIPAS-Envisat and ILAS-II N₂O data. The retrieval of the MIPAS-Envisat N₂O and CH₄ data has already been described in detail by Glatthor et al. (2005).

For the tropospheric gas N₂O, the differences between the MIPAS-Envisat and the ILAS-II data were small (Fig. 4). There were also no large differences between the two retrieval versions of ILAS-II. Above 30 km the differences were small for both ILAS-II retrieval versions. The largest differences occurred around 13 km and 14 km with values of 0.04 ppmv (12%) and 0.05 ppmv (14%) for ILAS-II Version 2.1 and Version 1.4, respectively. Mixing ratios measured by MIPAS-Envisat were found to be larger than the ILAS-II measurements for the whole height range.

3.1.3 Methane

For the comparison of MIPAS-Envisat and ILAS-II CH₄ data we used Version V3O_CH4_8 of the scientific processor of MIPAS. The coincidences were the same as for N₂O.

Similar to the case of H₂O, there was a clear improvement from ILAS-II Version 1.4 to ILAS-II Version 2.1. The small values of Version 1.4 above 25 km were unrealistic. Between MIPAS-Envisat and ILAS-II Version 2.1, the agreement was quite good. The largest differences, with 0.2 ppmv (14%), were at 22 km.

3.1.4 Ozone

The comparison of MIPAS-Envisat (V3O_O3_7) and ILAS-II O₃ data led to 118 coincident measurements in four months. The majority of these coincidences (59) were in October (Fig. 7), where there seemed to be two peaks between 25 km and 40 km.

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

For O₃ there was a slight improvement from ILAS-II Version 1.4 to ILAS-II Version 2.1, especially above 20 km. For the comparison with ILAS-II Version 2.1 data, the differences were about 0.3 ppmv (10%) for nearly the whole height range whereas above 20 km the differences with the ILAS-II Version 1.4 data increased, with the largest values of 0.85 ppmv (15%) being seen at 39 km.

3.1.5 Nitric acid

The coincidences we found for HNO₃ (V3O_HNO3_7) were the same as for O₃ (Fig. 9). The retrieval of the MIPAS-Envisat HNO₃ data has already been described in detail by Mengistu Tsidu et al. (2005). Wang et al. (2007) also compared HNO₃ data from MIPAS-Envisat and ILAS-II (only Version 1.4).

Figure 10 shows the differences between MIPAS-Envisat and ILAS-II measurements. The differences were quite small. The differences with ILAS-II Version 1.4 were slightly larger than with Version 2.1, especially above 20 km. The largest differences were around 25 km with 0.6 ppbv (7%) and 0.9 ppbv (10%) for Version 2.1 and Version 1.4, respectively. These differences were comparable to the differences found by Wang et al. (2007) for the ILAS-II Version 1.4 data. It is remarkable that, although in Version 2.1 the HITRAN 2004 line parameters were used instead of HITRAN 2000, no large differences were found, which might result from the fact that ILAS-II used not only the 11.3 μm band but also the 7.6 μm region for the retrieval, which compensates for the high bias of the 11.3 μm region (Wang et al., 2007).

3.1.6 Chlorine nitrate

For this comparison, the IMK Version V3O_CIONO2_11 was used. As in the case of H₂O and CH₄, there was a clear improvement in the ILAS-II CIONO₂ data from Version 1.4 to Version 2.1 above 30 km (Fig. 11). There was quite a good agreement between MIPAS-Envisat and ILAS-II Version 2.1. Below 25 km, there were large values of ILAS-II data for both versions of the ILAS-II retrieval algorithm. The retrieval of the

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

MIPAS-Envisat CIONO₂ data has already been described in detail by Höpfner et al. (2004, 2007).

Figure 12 shows the differences between the MIPAS-Envisat and ILAS-II data. For the Version 2.1 data, the differences (besides the lowest tangent altitude) were relatively small up to 30 km. Above 30 km the differences increased with largest differences of 0.13 ppbv (31%) at 35 km. For Version 1.4, the differences also were quite small below 30 km, but above that, the values were unrealistically large, resulting in very large differences with 0.6 ppbv (56%) around 37 km. This variation can be attributed to diurnal variation due to photochemistry (Höpfner et al., 2007).

3.2 Southern Hemisphere

All ILAS-II data taken in the Southern Hemisphere were sunset data. The ILAS-II data were from the two retrieval algorithm Versions 1.4 and 2.0. Measurements were performed between 68.5° S and 87.8° S (ILAS-II) and between 67.7° S and 86.6° S (MIPAS). The coincidences were separated into those from inside and those from outside the polar vortex using the criterion of Nash et al. (1996) at an equivalent latitude of 550 K. There were only six coincidences in May which were outside or at the edge of the polar vortex. All the other coincidences were clearly inside. The coincidences were listed in Table 2.

In the Southern Hemisphere there were no large differences between the two retrieval algorithms of ILAS-II. The differences between MIPAS-Envisat and the two ILAS-II retrieval versions are nearly the same. For H₂O and CH₄ ILAS-II Version 1.4 fits even better to the MIPAS-Envisat measurements than Version 2.0.

3.2.1 Water vapor

In the Southern Hemisphere 614 coincidences were found for H₂O and 608 of them were inside the polar vortex. In Fig. 13, it can be seen that there was no improvement between the two algorithm versions of ILAS-II. Above 45 km, the ILAS-II Version 1.4

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

data were slightly too large in comparison with the MIPAS-Envisat data.

The differences between MIPAS-Envisat and the two ILAS-II retrieval versions were not as large as for the Northern Hemisphere data (Fig. 14). This time the ILAS-II Version 1.4 agreed better with the MIPAS-Envisat data. The differences were about 1 to 2 ppmv (10 to 20% above 22 km) for nearly the whole altitude range, with smaller differences between MIPAS-Envisat and ILAS-II Version 1.4 data than with ILAS-II Version 2.0 data. Below 20 km, both instruments saw dehydrated air inside the polar vortex with very small VMR values of about 1 to 1.5 ppmv.

3.2.2 Nitrous oxide

Inside the polar vortex we found 568 coincidences between MIPAS-Envisat and ILAS-II measurements. Most of these coincidences (335) were in September (Fig. 15). There were no large differences between ILAS-II Version 1.4 and Version 2.0 data.

As N_2O is a tropospheric gas, the largest differences were obviously in the troposphere (Fig. 16). For this comparison the differences between the MIPAS-Envisat and ILAS-II measurements were quite small for the whole altitude range for both algorithm versions of ILAS-II. Especially above 20 km the differences were smaller than for the Northern Hemisphere data. The largest differences were around 13 km with 0.06 ppmv (21%) for Version 2.0 and 0.04 ppmv (14%) for Version 1.4. The MIPAS-Envisat measurements had larger values than the ILAS-II measurements for the whole height range for both hemispheres.

3.2.3 Methane

Figure 17 shows the comparison of ILAS-II Version 1.4 and Version 2.0 data with MIPAS-Envisat data. For the Southern Hemisphere data the agreement between the two retrieval versions of ILAS-II was much better, but in comparison with the Northern Hemisphere measurements, the differences between MIPAS-Envisat and ILAS-II Version 2 were larger than between MIPAS-Envisat and ILAS-II Version 1.4.

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

The differences are shown in Fig. 18. They were smaller than in the Northern Hemisphere, and the differences were especially small for ILAS-II Version 1.4. The largest differences for Version 2.0 were around 17 to 18 km with 0.26 ppmv (35%) and for Version 1.4 around 12 to 13 km with 0.12 ppmv (10%).

5 3.2.4 Ozone

MIPAS-Envisat and ILAS-II O₃ data had 218 coincidences in five months (Fig. 19).

In the Southern Hemisphere the differences between the two retrieval versions of ILAS-II were smaller, as was found with the other gases (Fig. 20). The largest differences were with 0.63 ppmv (17%) for Version 2.0 and 0.45 ppmv (12%) for Version 1.4 at around 27 to 28 km. Above 39 km, the differences between MIPAS-Envisat and ILAS-II Version 2.0 were smaller than between MIPAS-Envisat and ILAS-II Version 1.4. Below 39 km the situation was reversed.

3.2.5 Nitric acid

For the comparison of the southern hemispheric HNO₃ data inside the polar vortex, there were 227 coincidences (Fig. 21). Most of the coincidences were found in September. HNO₃ had a bi-modal distribution seen by both instruments in July 2003. In all other months there was only one mode. The large data in high altitudes in this bi-modal distribution were due to a high-altitude enhancement which was also seen by MIPAS-Envisat (Stiller et al., 2005). The low ILAS-II data for low altitudes were the result of denitrification.

Figure 22 shows the differences between the MIPAS-Envisat and the ILAS-II measurements. The differences were similar to the differences found in the Northern Hemisphere. For both retrieval versions the largest differences were at 25 km with 0.68 ppbv (10%) and 0.75 ppbv (10%) for Version 2.0 and Version 1.4, respectively. As was the case for the comparison of the Northern Hemisphere data, these differences were comparable to the differences found by Wang et al. (2007) for the ILAS-II Version 1.4

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

data.

3.2.6 Chlorine nitrate

In contrast to the measurements in the Northern Hemisphere there were no large differences between the two retrieval algorithms of ILAS-II in the Southern Hemisphere for ClONO₂ (Fig. 23). We found 199 coincidences between the MIPAS-Envisat and the ILAS-II measurements inside the polar vortex. Most of these coincidences were in September and October.

Figure 24 shows the differences between the MIPAS-Envisat and the ILAS-II data. The differences were relatively small for both retrieval versions of ILAS-II. The largest differences between MIPAS-Envisat and the ILAS-II Version 2.0 data were at 31 km with 0.19 ppbv (24%) and also at 31 km with 0.21 ppbv (27%) for ILAS-II Version 1.4.

4 Conclusions

The vertical VMR profiles of H₂O, N₂O, CH₄, O₃, HNO₃, and ClONO₂ were measured by MIPAS-Envisat and compared to profiles derived from ILAS-II measurements. We compared the measurements taken by these two instruments between May and October 2003. Using the coincidence criteria of ±300 km in space and ±12 h in time for H₂O, N₂O, and CH₄ and the coincidence criteria of ±300 km in space and ±6 h in time for ClONO₂, O₃, and HNO₃ a different number of coincidences was found for the gases. The data were separated into sunrise and sunset measurements, which correspond to Northern and Southern Hemisphere data, respectively. For the Northern Hemisphere data of H₂O, CH₄, ClONO₂, and O₃ from ILAS-II a clear improvement was observed from Version 1.4 to Version 2.1. For N₂O and HNO₃ data from ILAS-II there were no large differences observed. The differences between the two different algorithm versions for the Southern Hemisphere data were not as large as for the Northern Hemisphere data for all gases. Southern hemispheric H₂O and CH₄ profiles from ILAS-II

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Version 1.4 fitted better than Version 2.0.

For the H₂O data, a clear improvement between the two retrieval versions of ILAS-II was noticed. The small values of ILAS-II Version 1.4 above 20 km in the Northern Hemisphere data were unrealistic. Up to 45 km there was good agreement between the MIPAS-Envisat measurements and the ILAS-II Version 2.1 data. Above 45 km, the MIPAS-Envisat values were too large. The largest differences between ILAS-II and MIPAS-Envisat H₂O data were around 45 to 50 km with 2.6 ppmv (35%) (Version 2.1) in the Northern Hemisphere and 1 to 2 ppmv (10 to 20% above 22 km) in the Southern Hemisphere (both Version 1.4 and Version 2.0). But compared to the Northern Hemisphere measurements, the differences between MIPAS-Envisat and ILAS-II Version 2 were larger than between MIPAS-Envisat and ILAS-II Version 1.4 in the Southern Hemisphere. For both H₂O and CH₄ there were unrealistically small values in Version 1.4 above 25 km in the Northern Hemisphere data. Between MIPAS-Envisat and ILAS-II Version 2.1, the agreement was quite good. The largest differences with 0.2 ppmv (14%) were at 22 km. In the Southern Hemisphere, the largest differences for Version 2.0 were at around 17 to 18 km with 0.26 ppmv (35%) and for Version 1.4 they were even smaller with 0.12 ppmv (10%) at around 12 to 13 km. O₃ showed only a slight improvement from ILAS-II Version 1.4 to ILAS-II Version 2.1 in the Northern Hemisphere. For the comparison with ILAS-II Version 2.1 data, the differences were of about 0.3 ppmv (10%), whereas above 20 km the differences with the ILAS-II Version 1.4 data increased with the largest values of 0.85 ppmv (15%) at 39 km. In the Southern Hemisphere the differences between the two retrieval versions of ILAS-II were smaller, with the largest differences of 0.63 ppmv (17%) for Version 2.0 and 0.45 ppmv (12%) for Version 1.4 at around 27 to 28 km. In the ClONO₂ data, the improvement in the Northern Hemisphere from ILAS-II Version 1.4 to ILAS-II Version 2.1 was again obvious because of the unrealistically large values of Version 1.4 data above 30 km. For the Version 2.1 data, the differences were relatively small up to 30 km. Above 30 km, the differences increased with largest differences of 0.13 ppbv (31%) at 35 km. In the Southern Hemisphere the differences were relatively small for both re-

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

trieval versions of ILAS-II, with the largest differences between MIPAS-Envisat and the ILAS-II Version 2.0 data being 0.19 ppbv (24%) and 0.21 ppbv (27%) for ILAS-II Version 1.4 both at 31 km. Both N₂O and HNO₃ data showed no large differences between the Version 1.4 and Version 2.1 in the Northern Hemisphere. The N₂O data showed the largest differences in the Northern Hemisphere around 13 and 14 km with values of 0.04 ppmv (12%) and 0.05 ppmv (14%) for ILAS-II Version 2.1 and Version 1.4, respectively, in the Southern Hemisphere at around 13 km with 0.06 ppmv (21%) for Version 2.0 and 0.04 ppmv (14%) for Version 1.4. The differences for HNO₃ were quite small with 0.6 ppbv (7%) and 0.9 ppbv (10%) for Version 2.1 and Version 1.4 around 25 km in the Northern Hemisphere and 0.68 ppbv (10%) and 0.75 ppbv (10%) for Version 2.0 and Version 1.4, respectively, in the Southern Hemisphere.

Acknowledgements. The ILAS-II project was funded by the Ministry of the Environment of Japan (MOE). A part of this research was supported by a Global Environment Research Fund (GERF) provided by the MOE.

References

Bevilacqua, R. M., Aellig, C. P., Debrestian, D., Fromm, M. D., Hoppel, K., Lumpe, J. D., Shettle, E. P., Hornstein, J. S., Randall, C. E., Rusch, D. W., and Rosenfield, J. E.: POAM II ozone observations in the Antarctic ozone hole in 1994, 1995 and 1996, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 23 643–23 658, 1997. [9321](#)

Bracher, A., Bovensmann, H., Bramstedt, K., Burrows, J. P., von Clarmann, T., Eichmann, K.-U., Fischer, H., Funke, B., Gil-López, S., Glatthor, N., Grabowski, U., Höpfner, M., Kaufmann, M., Kellmann, S., Kiefer, M., Koukouli, M. E., Linden, A., López-Puertas, M., Mengistu Tsidu, G., Milz, M., Noël, S., Rohen, G., Rozanov, A., Rozanov, V. V., von Savigny, C., Sinnhuber, M., Skupin, J., Steck, T., Stiller, G. P., Wang, D.-Y., Weber, M., and Wuttke, M. W.: Cross comparisons of O₃ and NO₂ measured by the atmospheric ENVISAT instruments GOMOS, MIPAS, and SCIAMACHY, Adv. Space Res., 36, 855–867, doi:10.1016/j.asr.2005.04.005, 2005. [9324](#)

Ejiri, M. K., Terao, Y., Sugita, T., Nakajima, H., Yokota, T., Toon, G. C., Sen, B., Wetzel, G.,

Intercomparison of
ILAS-II target
parameters with
MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Oelhaf, H., Urban, J., Murtagh, D., Irie, H., Saitoh, N., Tanaka, T., Kanzawa, H., Shiotani, M., Kobayashi, H., and Sasano, Y.: Validation of the Improved Limb Atmospheric Spectrometer-II ILAS-II Version 1.4 nitrous oxide and methane profiles, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D22S90, doi:10.1029/2005JD006449, 2006. [9323](#)

5 Fischer, H.: Remote sensing of atmospheric trace constituents using Fourier Transform Spectrometry, *Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem.*, 96, 306–314, 1992. [9321](#), [9323](#)

Fischer, H.: Remote sensing of atmospheric trace gases, *Interdisc. Sci. Rev.*, 18, 185–191, 1993. [9321](#)

10 Fischer, H. and Oelhaf, H.: Remote sensing of vertical profiles of atmospheric trace constituents with MIPAS limb-emission spectrometers, *Appl. Opt.*, 35, 2787–2796, 1996. [9321](#), [9323](#)

15 Fischer, H., Blom, C., Oelhaf, H., Carli, B., Carlotti, M., Delbouille, L., Ehhalt, D., Flaud, J.-M., Isaksen, I., López-Puertas, M., McElroy, C. T., and Zander, R.: Envisat-MIPAS, an instrument for atmospheric chemistry and climate research, European Space Agency-Report SP-1229, edited by: Readings, C. and Harris, R. A., ESA Publications Division, ESTEC, P.O. Box 299, 2200 AG Noordwijk, The Netherlands, 2000. [9323](#)

Flaud, J.-M., Piccolo, C., Carli, B., Perrin, A., Coudert, L. H., Teffo, J.-L., and Brown, L. R.: Molecular line parameters for the MIPAS (Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding) experiment, *Atmos. Oceanic Opt.*, 16, 172–182, 2003. [9324](#)

20 Forster, P. and Shine, K. P.: Stratospheric water vapor changes as a possible contributor to observed stratospheric cooling, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 26, 3309–3312, 1999. [9320](#)

Funke, B., López-Puertas, M., Stiller, G. P., von Clarmann, T., and Höpfner, M.: A new non-LTE Retrieval Method for Atmospheric Parameters From MIPAS–ENVISAT Emission Spectra, *Adv. Space Res.*, 27, 1099–1104, 2001. [9322](#)

25 Glatthor, N., von Clarmann, T., Fischer, H., Funke, B., Grabowski, U., Höpfner, M., Kellmann, S., Kiefer, M., Linden, A., Milz, M., Steck, T., Stiller, G. P., Mengistu Tsidu, G., and Wang, D. Y.: Mixing processes during the Antarctic vortex split in September/October 2002 as inferred from source gas and ozone distributions from ENVISAT-MIPAS, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 62, 787–800, 2005. [9326](#)

30 Höpfner, M., Stiller, G. P., Kuntz, M., von Clarmann, T., Echle, G., Funke, B., Glatthor, N., Hase, F., Kemnitzer, H., and Zorn, S.: The Karlsruhe optimized and precise radiative transfer algorithm. Part II: Interface to retrieval applications, in: *Optical Remote Sensing of the Atmosphere and Clouds*, Beijing, China, 15–17 September 1998, edited by: Wang, J., Wu, B.,

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- Ogawa, T., and Guan, Z., vol. 3501, pp. 186–195, 1998. [9324](#)
- Höpfner, M., von Clarmann, T., Fischer, H., Glatthor, N., Grabowski, U., Kellmann, S., Kiefer, M., Linden, A., Mengistu Tsidu, G., Milz, M., Steck, T., Stiller, G. P., Wang, D.-Y., and Funke, B.: First spaceborne observations of Antarctic stratospheric ClONO₂ recovery: Austral spring 2002, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D11308, doi:10.1029/2004JD004609, 2004. [9328](#)
- Höpfner, M., von Clarmann, T., Fischer, H., Funke, B., Glatthor, N., Grabowski, U., Kellmann, S., Kiefer, M., Linden, A., Milz, M., Steck, T., Stiller, G. P., Bernath, P., Blom, C. E., Blumenstock, T., Boone, C., Chance, K., Coffey, M. T., Friedl-Vallon, F., Griffith, D., Hannigan, J. W., Hase, F., Jones, N., Jucks, K. W., Keim, C., Kleinert, A., Kouker, W., Liu, G. Y., Mahieu, E., Mellqvist, J., Mikuteit, S., Notholt, J., Oelhaf, H., Piesch, C., Reddman, T., Ruhnke, R., Schneider, M., Strandberg, A., Toon, G., Walker, K. A., Warneke, T., Wetzel, G., Wood, S., and Zander, R.: Validation of MIPAS ClONO₂ measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 257–281, 2007, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/257/2007/>. [9324](#), [9328](#)
- Irie, H., Sugita, T., Nakajima, H., Yokota, T., Oelhaf, H., Wetzel, G., Toon, G. C., Sen, B., Santee, M. L., Terao, Y., Saitoh, N., Ejiri, M. K., Tanaka, T., Kondo, Y., Kanzawa, H., Kobayashi, H., and Sasano, Y.: Validation of stratospheric nitric acid profiles observed by Improved Limb Atmospheric Spectrometer (ILAS)-II, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D11S03, doi:10.1029/2005JD006115, 2006. [9323](#)
- Mengistu Tsidu, G., Stiller, G. P., von Clarmann, T., Funke, B., Höpfner, M., Fischer, H., Glatthor, N., Grabowski, U., Kellmann, S., Kiefer, M., Linden, A., López-Puertas, M., Milz, M., Steck, T., and Wang, D. Y.: NO_y from Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding on Environmental Satellite during the Southern Hemisphere polar vortex split in September/October 2002, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D11301, doi:10.1029/2004JD005322, 2005. [9327](#)
- Milz, M., von Clarmann, T., Fischer, H., Glatthor, N., Grabowski, U., Höpfner, M., Kellmann, S., Kiefer, M., Linden, A., Mengistu Tsidu, G., Steck, T., Stiller, G. P., Funke, B., López-Puertas, M., and Koukouli, M. E.: Water Vapor Distributions Measured with the Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding on board Envisat (MIPAS/Envisat), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D24307, doi:10.1029/2005JD005973, 2005. [9325](#)
- Nakajima, H., Sugita, T., Yokota, T., Kobayashi, H., Sasano, Y., Ishigaki, T., Mogi, Y., Araki, N., Waragai, K., Kimura, N., Iwazawa, T., Kuze, A., Tani, J., Kawasaki, H., Horikawa, M., Togami, T., and Uemura, N.: Characteristics and performance of the Improved Limb Atmospheric Spectrometer-II (ILAS-II) on board the ADEOS-II satellite, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D11S01, doi:10.1029/2005JD006334, 2006. [9322](#), [9323](#)

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- Nash, E. R., Newman, P. A., Rosenfield, J. E., and Schoeberl, M. R.: An objective determination of the polar vortex using Ertel's potential vorticity, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 9471–9478, 1996. [9328](#)
- Nedoluha, G. E., Bevilacqua, R. M., Gomez, R. M., Siskind, D. E., Hicks, B. C., and Russell III, J. M.: Increases in middle atmospheric water vapor as observed by the Halogen Occultation Experiment (HALOE) and the ground-based Water Vapor Millimeter-wave Spectrometer from 1991 to 1997, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 3531–3542, 1998. [9320](#)
- Ridolfi, M., Carli, B., Carlotti, M., von Clarmann, T., Dinelli, B., Dudhia, A., Flaud, J.-M., Höpfner, M., Morris, P. E., Raspollini, P., Stiller, G., and Wells, R. J.: Optimized Forward and Retrieval Scheme for MIPAS Near-Real-Time Data Processing, *Appl. Opt.*, 39, 1323–1340, 2000. [9323](#)
- Rothman, L. S., Barbe, A., Benner, D. C., Brown, L. R., Camy-Peyret, C., Carleer, M. R., Chance, K., Clerbaux, C., Dana, V., Devi, V. M., Fayt, A., Flaud, J.-M., Gamache, R. R., Goldman, A., Jacquemart, D., Jucks, K. W., Lafferty, W. J., Mandin, J.-Y., Massie, S. T., Nemtchinov, V., Newnham, D. A., Perrin, A., Rinsland, C. P., Schroeder, J., Smith, K. M., Smith, M. A. H., Tang, K., Toth, R. A., Auwera, J. V., Varanasi, P., and Yoshino, K.: The HITRAN Molecular Spectroscopic Database: Edition of 2000 Including Updates through 2001, *HITRAN Special Issue: J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra.*, 82, 5–44, 2003. [9323](#)
- Rothman, L. S., Jacquemart, D., Barbe, A., Benner, D. C., Birk, M., Brown, L. R., Carleer, M. R., Chackerian Jr., C., Chance, K., Coudert, L., Dana, V., Devi, V. M., Flaud, J.-M., Gamache, R. R., Goldman, A., Hartmann, J.-M., Jucks, K. W., Maki, A. G., Mandin, J.-Y., Massie, S. T., Orphal, J., Perrin, A., Rinsland, C. P., Smith, M. A. H., Tennyson, J., Tolchenov, R. N., Toth, R. A., Auwera, J. V., Varanasi, P., and Wagner, G.: The HITRAN 2004 Molecular Spectroscopic Database, *HITRAN Special Issue: J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra.*, 96, 139–204, 2005. [9323](#)
- Saitoh, N., Hayashida, S., Sugita, T., Nakajima, H., Yokota, T., Hayashi, M., Shiraishi, K., Kanzawa, H., Ejiri, M. K., Irie, H., Tanaka, T., Terao, Y., Kobayashi, H., and Sasano, Y.: Intercomparison of ILAS-II version 1.4 aerosol extinction coefficient at 780 nm with SAGE II, SAGE III, and POAM III, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D11S05, doi:10.1029/2005JD006315, 2006. [9323](#)
- Singleton, C. S., Randall, C. E., Chipperfield, M. P., Davies, S., Feng, W., Bevilacqua, R. M., Hoppel, K. W., Fromm, M. D., Manney, G. L., and Harvey, V. L.: 2002-2003 Arctic ozone loss deduced from POAM III satellite observations and the SLIMCAT chemical transport model,

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 597–609, 2005,
<http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/597/2005/>. 9321

Solomon, S.: Stratospheric Ozone Depletion: A Review of Concepts and History, Rev. Geo-phys., 37, 275–315, 1999. 9321

5 Steck, T., von Clarmann, T., Fischer, H., Funke, B., Glatthor, N., Grabowski, U., Höpfner, M., Kellmann, S., Kiefer, M., Linden, A., Milz, M., Steck, T., Stiller, G. P., Wang, D. Y., Alaart, M., Blumenstock, T., von der Gathen, P., Hansen, G., Hase, F., Hochschild, G., G.Kopp, Kyrö, E., Oelhaf, H., Raffalski, U., Redondas Marrero, A., Remsberg, E., Russell III, J., Stebel, K., Steinbrecht, W., Wetzel, G., Yela, M., and Zhang, G.: Bias determination and precision
10 validation of ozone profiles from MIPAS-Envisat retrieved with the IMK-IAA processor, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 7, 4427–4480, 2007,
<http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/7/4427/2007/>. 9324

Stiller, G. P. (Ed.): The Karlsruhe Optimized and Precise Radiative Transfer Algorithm (KOPRA), Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe, Wissenschaftliche Berichte, FZKA 6487, 2000. 9324

15 Stiller, G. P., Höpfner, M., Kuntz, M., von Clarmann, T., Echle, G., Fischer, H., Funke, B., Glatthor, N., Hase, F., Kemnitzer, H., and Zorn, S.: The Karlsruhe optimized and precise radiative transfer algorithm. Part I: Requirements, justification, and model error estimation, in: Optical Remote Sensing of the Atmosphere and Clouds, Beijing, China, 15–17 September 1998, edited by: Wang, J., Wu, B., Ogawa, T., and Guan, Z., vol. 3501, pp. 257–268, 1998.
20 9324

Stiller, G. P., Mengistu Tsidu, G., von Clarmann, T., Glatthor, N., Höpfner, M., Kellmann, S., Linden, A., Ruhnke, R., Fischer, H., López-Puertas, M., Funke, B., and Gil-López, S.: An enhanced HNO₃ second maximum in the Antarctic mid–winter upper stratosphere 2003, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D20303, doi:10.1029/2005JD006011, 2005. 9330

25 Sugita, T., Nakajima, H., Yokota, T., Kanzawa, H., Gernandt, H., Herber, A., von der Gathen, P., Koenig-Langlo, G., Sato, K., Dorokhov, V., Yushukov, V. A., Murayama, Y., Yamamori, M., Godin-Beekmann, S., Goutail, F., Roscoe, H., Deshler, T., Yela, M., Taalas, P., Kyrö, E., Oltmans, S., Johnson, B., Allaart, M., Litynska, Z., Klekociuk, A., Andersen, S. B., Braathen, G., De Backer, H., Randall, C. E., Thomason, L. W., Irie, H., Ejiri, M. K., Saitoh, N., Tanaka, T., Terao, Y., Kobayashi, H., and Sasano, Y.: Ozone profiles in the high-latitude stratosphere
30 and lower mesosphere measured by the Improved Limb Atmospheric Spectrometer (ILAS)-II: Comparison with other satellite sensors and ozonesondes, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D11S02, doi:10.1029/2005JD006439, 2006. 9323

ACPD

7, 9319–9365, 2007

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Verronen, P., Kyrölä, E., Funke, B., Gil-López, S., Kaufmann, M., López-Puertas, M., von Clarmann, T., Stiller, G., Grabowski, U., and Höpfner, M.: A Comparison of night-time GOMOS and MIPAS vertical ozone profiles in the stratosphere and mesosphere, *Adv. Space Res.*, 36, 958–966, 2005. [9324](#)

5 von Clarmann, T.: Validation of remotely sensed profiles of atmospheric state variables: strategies and terminology, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 4311–4320, 2006, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/4311/2006/>. [9325](#)

von Clarmann, T., Chidiezie Chineke, T., Fischer, H., Funke, B., García-Comas, M., Gil-López, S., Glatthor, N., Grabowski, U., Höpfner, M., Kellmann, S., Kiefer, M., Linden, A., López-Puertas, M., López-Valverde, M. Á., Mengistu Tsidu, G., Milz, M., Steck, T., and Stiller, G. P.: Remote Sensing of the Middle Atmosphere with MIPAS, in: *Remote Sensing of Clouds and the Atmosphere VII*, edited by: Schäfer, K., Lado-Bordowsky, O., Comerón, A., and Picard, R. H., vol. 4882, pp. 172–183, SPIE, Bellingham, WA, USA, 2003a. [9326](#)

15 von Clarmann, T., Glatthor, N., Grabowski, U., Höpfner, M., Kellmann, S., Kiefer, M., Linden, A., Mengistu Tsidu, G., Milz, M., Steck, T., Stiller, G. P., Wang, D. Y., Fischer, H., Funke, B., Gil-López, S., and López-Puertas, M.: Retrieval of temperature and tangent altitude pointing from limb emission spectra recorded from space by the Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding (MIPAS), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 4736, doi:10.1029/2003JD003602, 2003b. [9327](#)

20 Wang, D.-Y., Stiller, G. P., von Clarmann, T., Fischer, H., Glatthor, N., Grabowski, U., Höpfner, M., Kellmann, S., Kiefer, M., Linden, A., Mengistu Tsidu, G., Milz, M., Steck, T., Wohnsiedler, S., López-Puertas, M., Funke, B., Gil-López, S., Kaufmann, M., Koukouli, M., Murtagh, D., Lautie, N., Jimenez, C., Jones, A., Eriksson, P., Urban, J., Noe, J., Flochmoen, E., Dupuy, E., Ricaud, P., Olberg, M., Frisk, U., Russel III, J., and Remsberg, E.: Comparisons of MIPAS/ENVISAT ozone profiles with SMR/ODIN and HALOE/UARS observations, *Adv. Space Res.*, 36, 927–931, 2005. [9328](#)

25 Wang, D. Y., Höpfner, M., Mengistu Tsidu, G., Stiller, G. P., von Clarmann, T., Fischer, H., Blumenstock, T., Glatthor, N., Grabowski, U., Hase, F., Kellmann, S., Linden, A., Milz, M., Oelhaf, H., Schneider, M., Steck, T., Wetzell, G., López-Puertas, M., Funke, B., Koukouli, M. E., Nakajima, H., Sugita, T., Irie, H., Urban, J., Murtagh, D., Santee, M. L., Toon, G., Gunson, M. R., Irion, F. W., Boone, C. D., Walker, K., and Bernath, P. F.: Validation of nitric acid retrieved by the IMK-IAA processor from MIPAS/ENVISAT measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 721–738, 2007, [9329](#)

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

<http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/721/2007/>. 9324, 9327, 9330

World Meteorological Organization: Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2002, Global Ozone Res. and Monit. Proj. Rep. No. 47, Geneva, 2003. 9320, 9321

- 5 Yokota, T., Nakajima, H., Sugita, T., Tsubaki, H., Itou, Y., Kaji, M., Suzuki, M., Kanzawa, H., Park, J. H., and Sasano, Y.: Improved Limb Atmospheric Spectrometer (ILAS) data retrieval algorithm for Version 5.20 gas profile products, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 8216, doi:10.1029/2001JD000628, 2002. 9322

ACPD

7, 9319–9365, 2007

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Table 1. Coincidences of MIPAS-Envisat and ILAS-II measurements in the Northern Hemisphere.

Gas	# of coinc.	Average Δ space [km]	Average Δ lat. [°]	Average Δ long. [°]	Average Δ time [hours]
H ₂ O	216	168 ± 84	−0.27 ± 1.04	−1.37 ± 3.05	6:11 $\pm 2:24$
N ₂ O	219	168 ± 83	−0.27 ± 1.04	−1.35 ± 3.04	6:11 $\pm 2:26$
CH ₄	219	168 ± 83	−0.27 ± 1.04	−1.35 ± 3.04	6:11 $\pm 2:26$
O ₃	118	167 ± 86	−0.29 ± 1.05	−1.92 ± 2.61	4:21 $\pm 1:21$
HNO ₃	118	167 ± 86	−0.29 ± 1.05	−1.92 ± 2.61	4:21 $\pm 1:21$
ClONO ₂	118	167 ± 86	−0.29 ± 1.05	−1.92 ± 2.61	4:21 $\pm 1:21$

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Table 2. Coincidences of MIPAS-Envisat and ILAS-II measurements in the Southern Hemisphere. The number in brackets indicate the number of coincidences inside the polar vortex.

Gas	# of coinc.	Average Δ space [km]	Average Δ lat. [°]	Average Δ long. [°]	Average Δ time [hours]
H ₂ O	614	189	0.20	0.06	7:22
	(608)	±68	±1.30	±17.52	±3:48
N ₂ O	574	188	0.21	0.01	7:25
	(568)	±68	±1.28	±17.78	±3:48
CH ₄	574	188	0.21	0.01	7:25
	(568)	±68	±1.28	±17.78	±3:48
O ₃	224	207	−0.06	−0.70	2:58
	(218)	±54	±1.44	±15.06	±1:33
HNO ₃	233	209	−0.11	−0.38	2:58
	(227)	±54	±1.53	±15.34	±1:32
ClONO ₂	205	211	−0.06	−0.60	3:01
	(199)	±54	±1.42	±15.19	±1:36

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.




Fig. 1. Comparison of the H_2O measurements in the Northern Hemisphere. The mean profiles of the MIPAS-Envisat measurements are shown as black squares, the mean profiles of the ILAS-II V1.4 data as blue triangles and the mean profiles of the ILAS-II V2.1 data as red circles for each month we found coincidences.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.




Fig. 2. Differences between MIPAS-Envisat and ILAS-II measurements of H_2O in the Northern Hemisphere. The mean profiles of all coincidences of the MIPAS-Envisat measurements are shown as black squares, the mean profiles of the ILAS-II V1.4 data as blue triangles and the mean profiles of the ILAS-II V2.1 data as red circles. At the right hand side the differences along with the standard error of the mean difference between the MIPAS-Envisat measurements and the ILAS-II V1.4 data are shown in blue and between the MIPAS-Envisat and the ILAS-II V2.1 data in red.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.




Fig. 3. As in Fig. 1 but for N_2O .

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.




Fig. 4. As in Fig. 2 but for N_2O .

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.




Fig. 5. As in Fig. 1 but for CH_4 .

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.




Fig. 6. As in Fig. 2 but for CH₄.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.




Fig. 7. As in Fig. 1 but for O_3 .

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.




Fig. 8. As in Fig. 2 but for O_3 .

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.




Fig. 9. As in Fig. 1 but for HNO_3 .

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.




Fig. 10. As in Fig. 2 but for HNO_3 .

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.

CIONO₂, NH




Fig. 11. As in Fig. 1 but for CIONO₂.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.




Fig. 12. As in Fig. 2 but for CIONO₂.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.




Fig. 13. Comparison of the H_2O measurements in the Southern Hemisphere. The MIPAS-Envisat measurements are shown as black squares, the ILAS-II V1.4 data as blue triangles and the ILAS-II V2.0 data as red circles for each month we found coincidences.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.




Fig. 14. Differences between MIPAS-Envisat and ILAS-II measurements of H_2O in the Southern Hemisphere. The mean profiles of all coincidences of the MIPAS-Envisat measurements are shown as black squares, the mean profiles of the ILAS-II V1.4 data as blue triangles and the mean profiles of the ILAS-II V2.0 data as red circles. At the right hand side the differences along with the standard error of the mean difference between the MIPAS-Envisat measurements and the ILAS-II V1.4 data are shown in blue and between the MIPAS-Envisat and the ILAS-II V2.0 data in red.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.




Fig. 15. As in Fig. 13 but for N_2O .

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.




Fig. 16. As in Fig. 14 but for N₂O.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.




Fig. 17. As in Fig. 13 but for CH₄.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.




Fig. 18. As in Fig. 14 but for CH₄.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.




Fig. 19. As in Fig. 13 but for O_3 .

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.




Fig. 20. As in Fig. 14 but for O₃.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.




Fig. 21. As in Fig. 13 but for HNO₃.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.




Fig. 22. As in Fig. 14 but for HNO_3 .

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.




Fig. 23. As in Fig. 13 but for ClONO₂.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Intercomparison of ILAS-II target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat

A. Griesfeller et al.




Fig. 24. As in Fig. 14 but for CIONO₂.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)